UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/826,105	04/16/2004	Mark D. Soll	MER 04-024	9262
	7590 12/11/200 -BLACK, Ph.D., J.D.		EXAM	INER
3239 Satellite B	Blvd.		LEVY, NEIL S	
Duluth, GA 300	190		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1615	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/11/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/826,105	SOLL ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	NEIL LEVY	1615	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	on appears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence addr	ess
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILII - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicat - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NG DATE OF THIS COMMUN CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a ion. period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC statute, cause the application to become A	ICATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this community (ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	This action is non-final. Ilowance except for formal ma	•	nerits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 26-29,33,35 and 37-40 is/are per 4a) Of the above claim(s) 35 and 38-40 is 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 26-29,33, & 37 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) 26-29,33,35 and 37-40 are subjected to Papers	s/are withdrawn from considera		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exa	aminor		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)		by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the	•		
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by t	the Examiner. Note the attache	ACTION OF FORM PIO	-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International E * See the attached detailed Office action for 	uments have been received. uments have been received in a e priority documents have bee Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National St	tage
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-943) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	48) — Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application	

Application/Control Number: 10/826,105 Page 2

Art Unit: 1615

DETAILED ACTION

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 35,38-40 stand withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected invention & species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in the reply filed on 4/28/08

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claim26 - 29, 33, 37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

There is no m, q, and r- cancel the third line below the formula of Ar on pages 5 and 7. Add an "or" after-R3 before 4, 5 in describing R2 on pages 3 and 6.

37 is ambiguous. Multiple dependent claims require "or".

Claims 26-29, 33 & 37 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over ALIG et al 6518296 in view of CLEVERLY et al and STETTER et al.

ALIG shows the instant compound at Table 1, Table I provides for haloalkyl as -CH2S0CF3, -CH2 COOF3, -CH2SCH2CH2OCH2CF3, and -CH2SCF3 at R2 and amino at R3, corresponding to the instant R2 and R4. Compositions of the instant claimed formulation include components of paraffin, oils, and organic solvents (column 24, top), with corn cobs and meals (column 24, lines 16-18) & surfactants (lines 18-23).

Additional parasiticides include avermectins (column 26, bottom). Oral formulations for cattle, pets and other animals are at column 29, top. Alig does not have all claimed components, as applicant points out. CLEVERLY also provides fipronil derivatives [0088] and shows fipronil and avermactin [0062, 0065] of the instant formulations inclusive of a corn cob or corn meal [0021] filler, pH modifier, antioxidant, and surfactant [0054-0060]. Tablet forms include waxes (claim 53) [0189].

Page 3

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made desiring to utilize pest control means, to use any of art recognized means, as of the ALIG formulations, modified as desired to permit application in feeds for animals. It would be obvious to vary the form of the formulations to optimize the effect desired, depending upon the particular species and application method of interest, reduction of toxicity, cost minimization, enhanced, and prolonged, or synergistic effects. Applicant has not provided any objective evidence of nonobvious or unexpected results that the administration of the particular ingredients'combination or formulation provides any greater or different level of prior art expectation as claimed, and the use of ingredient for the functionality for which they are known to be used is not basis for patentability.

Double Patenting

Claim26 -29,33 stand provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim1,4,5,8-10,13,15- 21,23-29,33 of copending Application No. 11580731. Although the conflicting claims are not identical,

Art Unit: 1615

they are not patentably distinct from each other because the 11/580731 would anticipate the instant claimed invention. This is a provisional obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

At this time, neither case has been allowed.

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 8/12/08 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant's arguments are that the compounds of ALIG are not the instant. However, they are, and attainable per KSR as a finite number to be tested with expectation of success. Further, applicant's arguments are that the particular

compositional components-wax- are not suggested. Again, per KSR, these are known components chosen for art known functions would be obvious for the artisan to prepare.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to NEIL LEVY whose telephone number is 571-272-0619. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday, 7 AM to 5:30 PM EST..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, MICHAEL WOODWARD can be reached on 571-272-8373. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/826,105 Page 6

Art Unit: 1615

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/NEIL LEVY/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1615